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## (Pledge of Allegiance.)

MR. POTEET:
All right. First thing is the
certification. Guess the certification that we meet is what that is.

MS. BARON:
Yes. I have it.
MR. POTEET:
Oh, there it is.
Do $I$ have to sign that or something?
MS. BARON:
No. Mr. Parnell signed it.
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Do we need to do anything with
that? Do we have to vote on it or anything?
Nothing?
MS. BARON:
No, sir. It is just basically there
for your information.
MR. POTEET:
Let's have a roll call.
MS. BARON:
John Poteet?
MR. POTEET:
Here.

MS. BARON: George Floyd.

MR. FLOYD: Here.

MS. BARON: Tony Cormier?

MR. CORMIER: Here.

MS. BARON: Matthew Pederson?

MR. PEDERSON: (No response.)

MS. BARON: Richard Watts?

MR. WATTS: Here.

MS. BARON: Steve Olave?

MR. OLAVE: Here.

MS. BARON: Ricky Donnell?

MR. DONNELL: Here.

MS. BARON:

## Dino Taylor?

MR. TAYLOR:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Jeff Britt?
MR. BRITT:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Travis Brown?
MR. BROWN:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Mr. Chairman, we do have a quorum.
MR. POTEET:
One thing $I$ want to make a note here real quick, guys. Remember, if you are not speaking, please mute your mics because we get a lot of background noise or if you take a phone call in the background or your dog starts barking or something like that, it doesn't sound the same to you as it does to the rest of us on here. So just as a matter of courtesy let's do that.

Also, I would like to make a motion here that we move the hearing up, instead of
having close to the end of the meeting, I would like to move it up after the adoption and approval of minutes. So $I$ have got a motion to do that. I need a second.

MR. WATTS:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Are there any opposed?
(No response.)
Okay. The motion passes. So, Kim, if you will make that note. We are going to move the hearing up to right after the adoption and approval of minutes.

Okay. What about public comments?
Do we have anyone on the call for public comments?

MS. BARON:
No, sir. Not that I am aware of. MR. POTEET:

All right. So everyone -- I hope everyone had a chance to read and look over the minutes for the last meeting. And is there any
discussion on the minutes of the last meeting?
No, no discussion. All right. I
need a motion to approve?
MR. TAYLOR:
I will make a motion that we accept
the minutes from the last meeting. MR. OLAVE:

Second the motion.
MR. POTEET:
All right. Second from Steve.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
That motion passes.
Let's go on to the hearing.
(Recess taken.)
MR. POTEET:
Let's move to know the financial
matters.
MS. ANDERSON:
So if you turn in your packets to the
financial statements for December 2020. On
page 1, the operating account balance decreased to 2,038,000. The accounts receivable fines decreased to 457,000. And the current liabilities at the bottom of the page and at the top of page 2 were $\$ 83,212$.

On page 2, the long-term liabilities decreased to $\$ 4,702,934$ due to the decrease in revenues from the dealer licenses that were issued with no payments so that our deferred inflows were a lot less. December is the last month that you will see the 2021 deferred revenue on here, because it will be -- the revenue was fully earned on January 1 and it will be transferred from liabilities to revenue on the January statement.

Turning on to pages 3 through 5, your statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position. The year-to-date revenues on page 3 were down significantly compared to the 2019/'20 fiscal year. Year to date, the revenues were 437,000 compared to 1,046,000 last year. We will attempt to collect fees for '21/'22 licenses beginning on July 1, '21. Turning to page 4, under expenses, the salaries and related benefits were 59,000
higher than the prior year primarily in salaries and retirement benefits. The health insurance increased for both employees and the agency this year. So we deduct those in December for payment in January. The remainder of the year-to-date expenses were $\$ 22,000$ lower than the previous year. And on page 5, the changes -- change in net position was a negative 30,000 for the month and a negative 270,000 year to date.

Turning on to page 6, the year-to-date budget to actual -- actual expenditures chart is on page 6. We are at the midpoint of the fiscal year and overall we are about 45 percent of budgeted expenditures so that's exactly where we need to be. On page 6, 7, and 8 is a compare -- four-year revenue comparison. This year's revenues compared to the 2018/'19 revenues with a decrease of about 30 percent to date.

On page 9, the certificate of deposit summary, there are no changes in -- there were no changes in December. On page 10 is the accounts receivable hearing fines report. And in December, we assessed $\$ 1,650$ in fines and we
collected $\$ 1,950$. The accounts receivable balance at the end of December was $\$ 457,369$. So, unless there are any questions, Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report on the financial statements for December.

MR. OLAVE:
I make a motion we adopt the
financial reports for December.
MR. DONNELL:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
I have a motion and a second.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Mona, I have a question for you. Can you kind of repeat how we are going to collect fees again? That's going to start back up in July, right?

MS. ANDERSON:
Correct. So we have several
different scenarios that are happening right
now. Some dealers didn't read the material that was sent to them so they paid their fees anyway. Those were recorded as overpayment and they elected to have those applied in July when we can collect the payments. We have dealers who have applied online. In that case, we will have to add fees back onto their license to take care of that $\$ 400$ renewal. And we will have to notify them at that time if they do not just elect to send their $\$ 400$ in.

So it is quite an undertaking this year with, you know, the delaying the collection of the fees. Whether or not we are going to collect 100 percent as we would in October, I would say probably not, because we are going to have to go out, probably send investigators out to see them and talk to them about it if we don't get the renewal. MR. POTEET:

So, as of right now -- as of right
now, we are going to go back to collecting normal fees in July.

MS. ANDERSON: In July, yes, sir.
MR. POTEET:

Does anybody else have any comment or questions about that?

MR. OLAVE:
I am curious about something. Are we experiencing growth in licensing or decline? MS. ANDERSON:

No. I would say, you know, it is
kind of hard to tell without any revenue, so. MR. OLAVE:

They still need a license, though, right? Whether we are collecting the license fees or not, they still need a license, right? MS. ANDERSON:

Right. So that might be -- Tonya, can you address that?

MS. BURKS:
Yes. I mean, as of right now, it is pretty much the same. So I wouldn't say we are growing with the license. I would probably say we are maintaining the same number.

MR. OLAVE:
Okay. I was just curious. That's
all.
MR. POTEET:
Yes. I think something came up with
a couple of months back, maybe longer, that so far things were pretty flat. No additional, I mean, not nothing, but very little additional but not losing too many.

MS. BURKS:
And usually our growth doesn't come until maybe around tax season time. So maybe around February or March is usually when we see the increase in dealers.

MR. POTEET:
Yes, tax season. Okay. We will see what happens with tax season this year. MR. OLAVE:

Yes. What does that even mean
anymore?
MR. POTEET:
Let's skip over to the ratification
and come back to Jeff after the hearing. So let's go to ratification. MR. PARNELL:

Yes. Commissioners, you will find in your packet a chart that illustrates the licensees that were in violation of state law. These cases have been investigated and $I$ have determined that the public interest can be
better served without further administrative proceeding; thus, civil penalties were imposed. I will announce the names of the dealers that have been imposed civil penalties for the record. We do not have anyone here present from any of these dealers so $I$ will go through the list.

Deals on Wheels, LLC, from Lacombe, Louisiana, their fine amount was $\$ 950$. This title was delivered after paying off their floor planner, which was late. They were not entering the temp tags into the database and they were -- they didn't obtain permission to issue the second temp tag out to the consumer. The next one, Oak Auto Sales, LLC, doing business as Oak Used Auto Sales, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 150$. The business phone was disconnected. Once the business phone is disconnected, we put a five-day notice on the dealer's door. They have five days to respond back to getting their information back up to date. The phone was reconnected.

The next item is Star Auto Wholesale, Incorporated, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The
fine amount is $\$ 200$. They were not entering their temp tags into the database.

Mr. I Do Care Auto Sales, LLC, from Hammond, Louisiana, the fine amount was $\$ 150$. They had no sign posted. The five-day notice was posted on the dealership, which was not vacated because they put their sign back up. Total amount of civil penalties is $\$ 1,450$.

Commissioners, I ask that you ratify
the imposed civil penalties assessed. MR. POTEET:

Do I hear a motion?
MR. DONNELL:
I make a motion.
MR. OLAVE:
I'll make a motion, Mr. Chairman. MR. POTEET:

I got a motion from Ricky. Steve, do
you want to be the second?
MR. OLAVE:
I will second. Yes, I will second the motion. And, Mr. Parnell, thank you for the explanation on the ratifications, also. MR. PARNELL:

I will do that ongoing.

MR. POTEET:
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. That passes. I guess we can go on to the executive director's report. MR. PARNELL:
All right. Commissioners, I did receive the Department of Public Safety, the public tag agent is something we talked about a while back that they will allow us the ability to become a public tag agent. What that means is we have to fill out an application packet. The application packet is rather large. It is quite extensive so just kind of reviewing the documents just to make sure that we fit in with what the requirements are and that some of the requirements are not opposed to what our agency's function is. The total package is 74 pages so it takes a little time to get through. Just to understand and make sure that we are doing something that we really -- that fits
within our scope of work.
One other thing that we have been working on is the continuing education. The application package has been completed. The package will be sent -- we are going to send the first one out to the LIADA this week. It is not very long. It is not -- it just has a lot of instructions about what the agency -our agency is looking for. What our rules are. How they meet those guidelines and fill out the application process. And then $I$ think it was a $\$ 600$ fee. Once they post that and then we got approved. The member applies for that and moves forward with them. They will have to put together their package and as it relates to the continuing education. But at that point, we can have our dealers getting their continuing education annually prior to them getting their license renewed.

The dealer seminar is also a function
that the Used Motor Vehicle Commission is going to continue to provide. We are going to discuss with the new cars -- I am sorry -- new dealers that are coming on. We re-vamped the educational process that we were using. It was
a four-hour process. We have kind of gotten it down to $21 / 2$ hours. It is ready. We plan to start beginning holding numerous virtual seminars beginning in February. We have -- the pandemic happened and we have about 150 dealers or maybe 200 dealers that are out there that have not done their dealer seminar. So, initially, we are going to start having seminars twice a day more often during the month, maybe three to four times during the month, so that these dealers can get caught up and the agency can get back on track to providing that service of the dealer seminar prior to the dealers becoming -- receiving their license.

We kind of already talked about
licensing. It has been flowing pretty well. There is some differences about process this year, but we have brought in new staff. They have been doing a good job learning -- learning the process. And the financial area, we brought in new staff in that area as well so they have been doing a great job of learning the process. So I think the managers for working with them, getting them up to par and
we are flowing pretty well in the areas here in the office.

That is pretty much all that I had as a report for this month. If you have any questions or comments, concerns, please advise. MR. POTEET:

Okay. Anybody have any comments for Derek or any questions? Anything at this point.

MR. DONNELL:
Derek, when do you think you might be getting around to going through that application for the tag agent and let us know? MR. PARNELL:

I kind of started it about two weeks ago. But getting finished, give me until another two weeks or so. I ant to get that out of the way as soon as I can. I mean, because I know that is a great revenue source for us. So I definitely want to make sure that we are getting it done.

MR. OLAVE:
Do we know what we are going to make off of each tag?

MR. PARNELL:

I think it was $\$ 18$ is what we can get off of each tag. I know there is some -- there are some rules that's in it, some language that we can't receive any information. We can't get paid for certain things. That was the reason why we went and talked to them about becoming a public tag agent, because that was the only reason -- the only way that we could get any funding from it, which will be the $\$ 18$. All the rest $I$ think goes to roads. MR. OLAVE:

Are there still going to be
limitations on the number of tags?
MR. PARNELL:
That will stay the same. As I
understand right now, that rule will stay the same. Now, that may be something we can get in touch with Department of Public Safety in the future and maybe adjust those numbers. MR. OLAVE:

I haven't heard many complaints from that, but $I$ am just saying. MR. PARNELL:

Yes. As of right now, once we initially get started with the process, it will
stay the same.
MR. DONNELL:
Do we have a notary in the building? MR. PARNELL:

We do.
MR. DONNELL:
You can charge more than -- you can charge for notary fee on top of the fee of $\$ 18$. MR. PARNELL:

Okay.
MR. POTEET:
All right. You know, I am thinking about having a -- getting that same thing at the auction. I think that might be something that would be useful for dealers. Once we start getting back to sort of normal attendance-type things, we are doing everything outside of the lanes right now and it is a little bit -- it's a little bit cumbersome, but it has worked out pretty well.

Let's go to the hearing. (Recess taken.)

MR. POTEET:
As I said before, we only have two things left on the agenda. The 2020 litigation
report was sent to you by Ms. Morris this morning. All of the commissioners had it. I think it might be a good idea if we actually move that to the next meeting to discuss, because we all need to go over what she sent to us. Is everybody okay with that? Or is anybody not okay with that?

Okay. So really the only thing that we have left on the agenda is the structure of supervision of compliance investigators. And this is an item that Commissioner Britt wanted to discuss and asked to be put on the agenda. So, Mr. Britt, do you want to take it away? MR. BRITT:

Yes. Based on everything that's transpired lately and today has been on my mind a lot, thinking about the operation of the Commission. And I really am of the opinion that Mr. Parnell, who does us a good job, has a lot going on down there, and with all of these field agents, $I$ am of the opinion that he needs a field supervisor to assist him in these operations.

Just, for instance, Monroe, I know you are listening. I am not throwing you under
the bus, but if we would have had a field supervisor to guide y'all in all of these situations like this, this wouldn't have happened. And that's my thoughts about it. And I would like to entertain a motion to have a field supervisor. MR. DONNELL: So moved.

MR. POTEET:
Before we vote, let's have a
discussion.
MR. OLAVE:
Yes, yes. Definitely there needs to
be some discussion. I support that for sure, Sheriff, but there needs to be some discussion. You know, I have been on the record and it sounds like $I$ have been somewhat critical of the operations. But $I$ have been on the record for the last two or three years with the opinion that there needs to be some reform in the field investigators. It was met with some opposition and some dissension. And, I mean, go back and look at the notes from several minutes where we discussed that. But I believe that we need to have some reform. We can always do things better. And I am not sure, you know, there are several questions that I would have of Mr. Parnell. One of which is, you know, what training are we giving these field investigators before we, you know, go out to the field? You know, again, just rhetorically speaking, my opinion is, you know, we have hired -- and rightly so -- we have hired some ex-policemen who without, I think, accurate supervision are going out acting like policemen. We have heard that, you know, Jeff said that a few times. I have said it. And I just -- I want to know, you know, what we can do moving forward to not only train our investigators, but to provide them the supervisory that Jeff was just mentioning. And I would like to hear from Mr. Parnell.

MR. BRITT:
Before Derek speaks, let me say this with respect to the whole Commission. This is no reflection on Derek whatsoever. This is -my thought is to assist Derek in his daily duties, because, you know, he is sitting in the chair down there tending to everything every day and that's all that is about. I think it
will assist him in overseeing everything a whole lot better and smoother and having somebody on top of things.

It's about structure. And it is just
like all of these guys are former police officers. They understand that structure and you have to have a supervisor. You have to have -- you know, in law enforcement, you have got the head and 2 - or 300 under him directing things. And the same procedure would go for Derek. I mean, I am not throwing Derek under the bus by any means. I don't want anybody on this Commission to think that. What I am saying is we need -- he needs a buffer and he needs some assistance. MR. OLAVE:

Sheriff, I submit this to you. You are absolutely right about these supervisory part of this. But that comes, would you agree, after some training? MR. POTEET:

Who are you addressing that question
to?
MR. OLAVE:
Sheriff Jeff Britt. You made mention
you want supervisor in the field. My first question would be the supervisor part of this is great, but what type of training? That's why I wanted to hear from Mr. Parnell. What type of training are we giving these investigators before they go out to the field? MR. PARNELL:

Typically, what happens is when someone new comes on, I sit with them. I go through the agency Commission mission, the goals of the agency, the standards of the Commission. Then, I go into the discussion of the department, which is compliance investigators and what are the goals of the compliance investigator. What will I be looking as far as behavior expectations. You know, compliance investigators have a huge role with our agency because they are the persons that most outside persons see all of the time. So I express to them the expectations of making sure that they are good at communicating and make sure they are giving information that is accurate, factual, and they cannot come back on you as a compliance investigator and this agency as a whole.

Second, what $I$ do is I give them opportunity to sit down through our dealer inspection -- I am sorry, our dealer seminar. They sit through the entire four-hour process as a new dealer would do. And during that time, you know, they understand what their role is because of the compliance investigators that are teaching it, two of them right now, or three that helps out with that, make it more specific to the things that they are looking at when they go out as far as the dealer. Beyond that, you know, the investigators, there are investigator courses that the compliance investigators can take. I go through the inspection process communication process. After that, after we have had those discussions and they have gone through the dealer seminar, what $I$ do then is start looking at cases with them. Kim does it. We will pull up cases and kind of go through it and see what their process is on it. And we kind of work the cases from the perspective of cases are not ones that can be in the field at that time, because a lot of cases can be handled via the telephone. So we work cases individually with
that compliance investigator.
After that, what $I$ do is I set up for about two weeks with the compliance investigator to go out and ride with one or two different compliance investigators and see what they are doing in those areas. Because there are a lot of nuances to the compliance investigators, you know. They have to do inspections all for, you know, new licenses that come in, they have to inspect whether or not these dealers have the proper signage and the information that is needed to give information out to the public.

So could there be more? Yes.
Always, in anything in any situation. I am not opposed to anything what you are saying as it relates to another layer, another buffer. I am not opposed to any of that. Where I do question is what is that role of that individual that we are talking about? What do you suggest that person does?

MR. BRITT:
Derek, if you are asking me, I think that would be something that the attorneys and one or two of us and you sit down and come up
with and present it to the whole Commission. MR. PARNELL:

Are we talk about hiring on new staff
or staff that -MR. BRITT:

No, no. I am not saying hiring
anybody. MR. PARNELL:

Okay. MR. BRITT:

We have capable men working already. MR. PARNELL:

I think so as well.
MS. MORRIS:
We would have to go through Civil
Service. We would have to develop a job description and have it approved by Civil Service because of the way the agency's positions are allocated. MR. OLAVE:

My opinion in all of this has always been the spirit of the Commission. I use that term because $I$ am most interested in the daily operations. We have a competent executive director that handles the daily operations. I
don't -- I am not interested in hiring and firing. I am interested in the spirit of the Commission and I have been vocal and I have been on record for the last couple of years having to do with how our field investigators are interacting with the dealers. I have said it. I have said it before. I have gotten calls from dealers. I have said it before and here we are with a case. And no offense to Monroe, but, again, it comes to fruition that we are, you know, an issue with an investigator as it pertains to a consumer or a dealer or what have you. And, again, I am just -- I am not -- I wish that I had a solution. That's up to you, Derek. I mean, I really -- again, not trying to get involved in that, that is up to you. But $I$ think some reform is necessary at this point.

MR. PARNELL:
And I will agree with that. But I did want to just point out, I don't have any problems with, you know, looking at having another layer of buffer. But I did want to point out to Commissioner Britt, I don't know if he knows this, but this Commission prior to
me arriving, we had investigative -- we had a director, an assistant director, and two field staff supervisors over 11 investigators. And 8 of those persons were indicted. I agree with you. There are some things that need to be adjusted. But the way that $I$ have it structured, this agency, it's kind of structured in a way where $I$ have a dealer services unit, where $I$ have one manager that covers that area, fiscal services area. Compliance investigation is one of the areas that I am directly over. And also, Kim helps me in that area. There are some things I will agree 100 percent with you-all on that. None of this stuff with that situation would just happen with Mr. Almond should have happened, period. There is no question about that. That's completely beyond and unacceptable what my expectations were and $I$ know your expectations are, you know. But I will receive, you know, any assistance.

MR. BRITT:
I am quite familiar with the structure of the Commission prior to you being there.

MR. PARNELL:
Right.
MR. BRITT:
All of the people that were working there. I am familiar with the whole thing and it was overloaded, but we are not overloaded now. I am not trying to add anybody. All that I am trying to do is establish another wheel notch on the log to help you and to help the Commission and help the public. That's what I am trying to do.

MR. PARNELL:
I think that's a good idea.
MR. DONNELL:
As Mr. Olave stated, the spirit of
the Commission.
MR. BRITT:
Exactly.
MR. DONNELL:
I just have to ask a question. You
know, from this settlement we just done with the state, how much did our premiums go up with Risk Management?

MR. PARNELL:
I think 15,000. Total from that,
yes, sir.
MR. DONNELL:
$\$ 15,000$. And I want to back up what Jeff said: You are doing a fine job down there. But I think you ain't got time to handle it all. I think you need some help. MR. POTEET:

Let me say something here, guys. Number one, we have a motion and a second on the floor. And what I would like to say here is what the motion by Mr. Britt is that we would create this position -- before we vote on this, I would like to make this suggestion. And it's all kind of come out in this discussion anyway. But $I$ would suggest that Mr. Parnell get together with a couple of volunteers. I am assuming Jeff would want to volunteer. And what $I$ would like to see is a comprehensive study as to how we are structured and then what we would suggest. Because even if we take one of our current investigators and create a new position, which I would assume it would be at a higher pay and it would have a different title, and then we would still have get that cleared through Civil Service. So
when we do that, $I$ would like to be able to present to Civil Service, here is the study we have made, here is what we would like to do, here is what we want to accomplish from that. Then, when this group -- I'm kind of throwing Derek and Jeff in this for obvious reasons, but any of you can be on that group. I don't want it to be 10 or 20 of us, but not more than two commissioners. And then we have something in writing that we can present to -- back to the Commission to peruse and look over and make suggestions. And then we have something to go to Civil Service with. If we don't do it the right way, we will just be having the same discussion a year from now.

MR. DONNELL:
I agree with the chairman to a
certain point. But we can vote on this and still do the same thing.

MR. POTEET:
We are going to vote. I just want to
point out what needs to happen. All we are voting on is that we are going to create this position. But there is going to be -- we are not going to hire somebody next week.

MR. OLAVE:
You called for a discussion,
Mr. Chairman. That's what we are doing. MR. POTEET:

That's right, that's right. MR. BRITT:

Let me rephrase, if $I$ may, and Robert tell me or Sherri tell me. Can I rephrase the motion?

MR. POTEET:
Yes. We didn't vote on that motion. MR. BRITT:

Here is my motion. That I make a motion -- go ahead, Mona.

MS. ANDERSON:
I would just like to point out that you are talking about additional money that's not in the budget right now. That's all that I wanted to say.

MR. BRITT:
I am quite familiar with that.
MS. ANDERSON:
Okay.
MR. POTEET:
That's right.

MR. BRITT:
Here's my motion. I make a motion that the Commission entertains having a field supervisor to assist Derek with the field agents. In that motion, I say that myself and Derek, one other commissioner and both attorneys are involved with the consultation of anything financial with Mona that we come up with a solution to this. And we get it in writing, as you said, John. That's going to be -- that's my motion. And I think everybody can deal with that.

MR. POTEET:
That's one of the most thorough motions that $I$ ever heard. Do I have a second? MR. WATTS:

I second it.
MR. POTEET:
Second from Mr. Watts.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. BRITT:

We need to pick another commissioner. MR. POTEET:

That motion carries. Is there a commissioner that would like to volunteer to be on this?

MR. WATTS:
I will volunteer, I guess, if nobody wants.

MR. OLAVE:
You have my attention.
MR. POTEET:
Olave and Watts both want to
volunteer.
MR. DONNELL:
If they need help, I will, too.
MR. WATTS:
Y'all can have it then.
MR. DONNELL:
Can we go back?
MR. POTEET:
Wait, Ricky. Let me finish this real quick and then you can go back. So what I would like to say is, Jeff, since you are kind of spearheaded this, $I$ would like you to get together with Derek and start fleshing this out
and then figure out what the next step is. Is that okay with you guys? MR. BRITT:

Absolutely. MR. OLAVE:

I would like to be part of that as well.

MR. BRITT:
Absolutely.
MR. POTEET:
All right. Okay. Ricky. You are on.

MR. DONNELL:
I just got to thinking about, we are going to carry this until the month -- the next meeting, but what is -- what is a litigation report that we are putting off until next month?

MR. POTEET:
Sherri, can you answer that?
MS. MORRIS:
I emailed it this morning. It is
just a list of three cases that were pending in the prior calendar year. One of them is inactive. It's been around for three years and
no action has been taken in that. And $I$ don't expect that it will be because the law that related to that case changed and, I guess, the investigation is closed, but $I$ don't really know. The case that you just discussed this morning that was settled by the Office of Risk Management and there is one other pending case that is also being handled by the Office of Risk Management.

So I put that there. If anybody wants copies of the pleadings or whatever, I can send whatever you-all think you-all need, it is just additional information prior to the meeting.

MR. DONNELL:
Did you do this on your own or did somebody request it?

MR. POTEET:
We requested it.
MS. MORRIS:
I had a request at the last meeting that you-all wanted more information about the pending litigation. So this is one manner of doing it. Some of the boards and commissions have a monthly litigation report. Every board
and commission handles it differently. But I can -- this is just one manner in which we can handle it. And $I$ can report it monthly, quarterly, however you-all want to see those cases. This is the -- you know, we haven't had the whole real history the time that I have been here. In the beginning, we had a lot of litigation and we didn't have litigation, I think, for years and now we have these three that are pending. Like I said, one of them is inactive.

MR. DONNELL:
Did you happen to get -- did you
happen to get the reports I requested from the Risk Management?

MS. MORRIS:
I do not have them. I requested them
from the person that emailed me that $I$ believe that you spoke to. I have not received that. MR. DONNELL:

That was the Attorney General. I am talking about Risk Management is what $I$ asked you for last month. MS. MORRIS:

It would be the same report. It's a
request for settlement authority. I think that is what you are asking for, and it goes to both agencies. It has to be signed by both.

MR. DONNELL:
Okay.
MS. MORRIS:
I am familiar with the report.
MR. DONNELL:
I understand. I would like just to see from the agency, the Risk Management agency.

MS. MORRIS:
Okay. I can ask the same -- for the same report from the Office of Risk Management as well, but $I$ asked for the attorney general through the same person that you spoke to because I thought that she would be familiar with the conversation. I wasn't in on the conversation, so $I$ was just copied on the subsequent email.

MR. DONNELL:
Well, $I$ just didn't know what the litigation report was.

MS. MORRIS:
It is just the list.

MR. DONNELL:
We never had one before.
MR. POTEET:
This came up in the last meeting. In the spirit of transparency, Sherri and Derek and I discussed this and we will have this report coming forward monthly. If there is nothing to report, it will say nothing to report. And the only reason I wanted to move it until next month was because we just got the report this morning. I don't know how you can discuss something --

MR. DONNELL:
Right, I understand.
MS. MORRIS:
If anybody thinks that they want to discuss the strategy or defense of a particular piece of litigation, if you could let Kim know that at the beginning, you know, prior to the meeting so that it can be noted properly on the agenda. And, like I said, these cases are turned over to the Attorney General for handling. So those will be -- we would have to get them to the meeting to discuss anything that's not in the public record.

MR. POTEET:
Mr. Watts, you had something that you wanted to say.

MR. WATTS:
Yes. I was just -- I might be out of line here a little. But $I$ am the legislative representative for the Commission.

MR. POTEET:
Yes.
MR. WATTS:
I want to mention, nobody has ever called me or nothing in a year and a half. We voted on this as me bing the Vice Chairman and I don't know who to contact. I want to get involved more if we have litigation coming from the House or the senate or anything coming from the Legislature. I would like to be involved. Nobody never calls me. They always set somebody up.

MR. POTEET:
There has been nothing much going on in the last year and a half.

MR. PARNELL:
The way it happens with legislative, like, you did the legislative chair, basically.

When we have meetings with full board and we want to do legislation for that upcoming year, you would spearhead that discussion, that situation. If there is litigation that's out that could come up that could affect our agency, I will let you -- I always let you know what that is.

MR. WATTS:
Okay.
MR. PARNELL:
I usually let you-all know as a full
body. We didn't ever since -- when you became Legislature chair, we didn't really have any legislation really going on since that point. There wasn't any legislation this past year that really affected us individually like that in we didn't put any legislation forward. MR. POTEET:

If you were -- I can't remember how long you have been on the commission, Mr. Watts, but if you remember, some of you remember when Ron was the Legislature chair, and what we would do is -- just as Mr. Parnell said, he would get involved with -- mostly with Sherri and with Derek when things came up and
then he would spend time looking into it and following it and then come back to our commission and report what was going on. So that's, yes, that's what you should be doing. You should be working with Derek and Sherri as legislation comes up. Or any legislation that we propose in our meetings or have suggested to us from other constituents so we can discuss it. So, yes, that's your role. And, you know, really with COVID, the government has been kind of out of business a little bit to some degree. And we didn't have anything come up in the last session. So that's why your position seems dormant, if you want to look at that.

MR. WATTS:
I feel dormant.
MR. PARNELL:
I don't think the next legislative session is going to be all hunky-dory like it's been this past year. We have concerns about other things that come up sometimes, too.

MS. MORRIS:
We will being monitoring bills if
they are pre-filed and if anything affects the commission, we will forward those along. I
haven't checked in the last week or two, but the last time I checked there were zero pre-filed bills and I would expect that they will be getting filed pretty soon. MR. PARNELL:

When does the session start, Sherri?
MS. MORRIS:
I believe in March. So generally the month before, we will start seeing the activity with bills being filed. The session starts on the 12 th of April. So 30 days before, we will start seeing pre-bills -- filed bills. MR. POTEET:

Okay. Thank you. Derek. MR. PARNELL:

Commissioner Britt and Commissioner Olave, I will reach out to you guys within the next week or so, so we can really start putting together a game plan and have discussions about what we just talked about today. MR. BRITT:

Yes. Why don't we plan on maybe next week. Not this week, but the following week. MR. PARNELL:

Okay. Also, I will inform you, I am
going to look a little deeper if that other -that case that's out there that Sherri talked about. That second one that came in. I will look into that one as well.

MR. POTEET:
All right. Anything else we need to discuss today?

Okay. Is February 15 the next meeting date?

MS. BARON:
Yes.
MR. ALLMOND:
I just want to throw my two cents' worth here since I seem to be most of the consternation today as far as offering up to sit in on y'all's committee when y'all are discussing this buffer layer, because I think that $I$ can throw you some insight into the investigators' side of things as far as what I have seen and what $I$ think needs to be done, also.

MR. PARNELL:
We will look into that. I will let you know what we do moving forward with that. I will give you a call, too.
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